



Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

**Introduction and presentation of ad hoc TAPs for
PC16 R-PPs.**

Review Process

Geneva, Switzerland

December 12-15, 2013





FCPF PC16 REDD Country Candidates

- Belize
- Bhutan
- Burkina Faso
- Cote d'Ivoire
- Dominican Republic

- Fiji
- Nigeria
- Pakistan
- Sudan (North)
- Togo
- Uruguay





Criteria to guide the selection...

1. The quality of the submitted R-PP, as informed by the TAP review;
2. b. The commitment of a Delivery Partner
3. c. The availability of sufficient resources...
4. d. Funding from non-FCPF sources and demonstrated level of commitment to REDD+...and other criteria...



Process & TAP management

- 62 consultants hired for 11 country teams
- Relied on precedent from previous TAPs
- R-PP Version 6 April 2012 template
- Countries submitted R-PPs by July and resubmitted in September, and November again.

Process & TAP management

R-PPs re-submitted by countries to FCPF, and sent to TAP	TAP review started on September 16, 2013
Individual TAP Reviews from all team members made available	September, 2013
TAP Team teleconference calls	September, 2013
TAP leads draft Synthesis reviews available	October 4, 2013 (FMT resource person)
TAP/FMT meeting to finalize synthesis review and to implement relevant government calls.	October 7-10, 2013
TAP teleconference with Government suggesting R-PP adjustments	October 9-18, 2013
Countries' revised R-PPs re-submitted from Countries to final TAP and PC review, and R-PPs posted on web	November 8, 2013
Final TAP synthesis from TAP posted on FCPF web	November 8-15, 2013
R-PPs Presentation by countries and PC assessment in PC16	December 12-15



TAP team leads & co-leads

- Jayant Sathaye: Bhutan, Fiji, Pakistan
- Gisela Ulloa: Belize, Dominican Republic
- Tomas Schlichter: Uruguay
- Harrison Kojwang: Nigeria, Sudan
- Steve Cobb: Cotê d'Ivoire, Togo
- Ken Creighton: Burkina Faso
- Sajidin Hussain: Pakistan
- Ben de Jong: Belize
- Eduardo Morales: Dominican Republic, Uruguay
- Trinto Mugangu: Cotê d'Ivoire, Togo




Observations from this TAP process

- Highly competitive process
- Countries responded back to TAP suggestions in shortest time
- Government representatives took the TAP comments and use feedback very positively
- In-country presence of existing funding and international consultants, tend to give countries significant advantage in meeting R-PP standards
- This relative advantage is not quantified by the current assessment criteria

Reviewing quality and building capacity

PC Meetings from PC5 to PC16 vs Components Met





THANK YOU!

www.forestcarbonpartnership.org